

## Note

# Random edge domination

Peter Dolan\* and Mark Halsey

*Department of Mathematics, Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, N.Y. 12504, USA*

Received 5 June 1990

Revised 5 December 1990

### Abstract

Dolan, P. and M. Halsey, Random edge domination, Discrete Mathematics 112 (1993) 259–260.

The edge dominating number of the random graph  $G_{n, 1/2}$  is shown to be sharply concentrated.

In a graph, an *edge dominating set* is a subset of the edges with the property that every edge not in the subset is incident with at least one of the vertices in the dominating set. The *edge dominating number*  $ED$  of a graph is the order of the smallest set of edges in a dominating set. (Applications of edge domination are discussed in [5]). For ordinary graphs, the problem of finding a minimum edge dominating set is NP-complete. Indeed, the problem remains NP-complete for the class of bipartite graphs [2, 5].

We investigate the asymptotic behavior of this parameter in  $G_{n, 1/2}$ , the random graph on  $n$  vertices with edge probability  $\frac{1}{2}$ . *Almost always* (with probability tending to 1 as  $n$  tends to infinity) its value will be one of two values. A similar phenomenon has been observed for the clique number [3, p. 51], and the vertex dominating number [4].

**Theorem.** *There exists a function  $f(n)$  such that, as  $n$  tends to infinity,*

$$\Pr[ED(G_{n, 1/2}) = f(n) \text{ or } f(n) - 1] \rightarrow 1.$$

**Proof.** There exists a function  $\alpha(n) \sim 2 \ln n$  such that the largest independent set in  $G_{n, 1/2}$  almost always contains  $\alpha$  or  $\alpha + 1$  vertices [3, p. 51]. Suppose  $E = \{e_1, \dots, e_k\}$

*Correspondence to:* Mark Halsey, Department of Mathematics, Bard College, Annandale-on-Hudson, N.Y. 12504, USA.

\*Supported in part by an Asher B. Edelman Fellowship from Bard College.

are the edges of an edge dominating set. If  $\{v_1, \dots, v_t\}$  are the vertices of  $G$  that are not terminal vertices of an edge in  $E$ , then they must form an independent set since their internal edges would not be dominated. This means that almost always  $t \leq \alpha + 1$  so  $k \geq (n - \alpha - 1)/2$ .

Consider  $G_{n, 1/2}$  as the union of two random graphs  $G_1 = G_{n, p_1}$  and  $G_2 = G_{n, p_2}$ , where  $p_2 = (\ln(n - \alpha) + \omega)/(n - \alpha)$ ,  $\omega \rightarrow \infty$  arbitrarily slowly, and  $p_1 = \frac{1}{2}[(n - 2p_2)/(n - p_2)]$ . Identify multiple edges, so the edge probability of  $G_1 \cup G_2$  is  $p_1 + p_2 - p_1 p_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ . Since  $p_1 < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $G_1$  almost always contains an independent set  $S$  of  $\alpha$  vertices [3, p. 51]. There are two cases to consider:

*Case 1:  $n - \alpha$  is even.*

The edges of  $G_2$  almost always contain a perfect matching of the vertices of  $G_1 \setminus S$  [1]. Furthermore, they almost never join any pairs of vertices of  $S$  since the expected number of such vertices is  $\ll 1$ . The edges of the matching will therefore almost always be an edge dominating set. Thus, in accordance with the lower bound,  $ED(G) = (n - \alpha)/2$ .

*Case 2:  $n - \alpha$  is odd.*

Form  $S'$  by removing a vertex from  $S$ . The edges of  $G_2$  will almost always contain a perfect matching of the vertices of  $G_1 \setminus S'$ . The edges of the matching form a dominating set of cardinality  $(n - \alpha + 1)/2$ . Thus,

$$\frac{n - \alpha - 1}{2} \leq ED(G) \leq \frac{n - \alpha + 1}{2}. \quad \square$$

It should be noted that the independent edge dominating number (the size of the smallest maximal matching) is equal to the edge dominating number [5].

This theorem was originally part of a longer article. The authors thank the referees for their comments.

## References

- [1] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On Random Matrices, Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci. 8 (1964) 455–461.
- [2] Garey and D. Johnson, Computers and intractability, Bell Telephone Laboratories Inc., 1979.
- [3] J. Spencer, Ten Lectures on the Probabilistic Method (SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1987).
- [4] K. Weber, Domination number for almost every graph, Rostock Math. Kolloq. 16 (1981) 31–43.
- [5] M. Yannakakis and F. Gavril, Edge Dominating Sets in Graphs, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 38 (1980) 364–372.